UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,012	12/27/2006	Andreas Tazreiter	566/44299	5759
	7590 09/24/200 HORNBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
750-17TH STREET NW			SMITH, JASON C	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-4675			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/550,012	TAZREITER, ANDREAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason C. Smith	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Sec 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,3 and 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	r election requirement. r. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/23/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/550,012 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 09/23/2005 is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leitner et al. (6,834,875) in view of Shank et al. (6,782,759). Leitner et al. discloses a retractable step (20) for entering and exiting rail vehicles, the retractable step (20) having a surface which can be walked upon and being configured to be extended in an essentially linear direction to bridge a gap between the rail vehicle and a platform (24), the retractable step comprising: a leading edge (24a), but does not disclose a strip with a sensor. However, Shank et al. discloses a strip (12) being movably connected to the retractable step and extending over an entire length L of the retractable step: the strip having at least one sensor (12) and arranged to prevent a collision with objects during an extension operation of the retractable step: and wherein, when the retractable step is in an extended position, the strip is at least partially located

under the leading edge and the at least one sensor detects one of the following: (1) a position of the strip relative to the retractable step and (2) a force transferred from the strip to the retractable step. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a strip with a sensor disclosed in Leitner et al. in view of the teaching of Shank et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent collision with objects during operation of the retractable step. The sensor (12) of the garage senses the force like the sensor described by applicant; [claim 4] wherein the strip is a bracket displaceable in a guide (12); [claim 6] wherein the at least one sensor is fitted to a front edge of the strip (12).

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 2, 3, and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason C. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 270-5225. The examiner can normally be reached on M- F, 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,012 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. Joseph Morano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3617

/Jason C Smith/ Examiner, Art Unit 3617